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Tuskegee Syphilis Study
1932 to 1972

- Study the natural progression of untreated syphilis in rural African-American men in Alabama.
- Not told they were in a study.
- Treatment withheld.

http://www.tuskegee.edu/about_us/centers_of_excellence/bioethics_center/about_the_usphs_syphilis_study.aspx
Research ethics principles

The Nuremberg Code
1947

Ten points that define legitimate medical research

http://www.hhs.gov/ohrp/archive/nurcode.html
The Declaration of Helsinki
1964

http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/
Regulations and law


on the approximation of relating to the implement of 4 April 2001

Requirements for ethical review of clinical research

Clinical trials and medical research - Ethics committees

Ethics committees

Every clinical trial is covered by regulations that protect the health, safety and dignity of the people taking part.

Useful links

NHS Choices links
Health regulators
Publishers should work with journal editors to:

- Set journal policies appropriately and aim to meet those policies, particularly with respect to:
  - Research ethics, including confidentiality, consent, and the special requirements for human and animal research
What are the challenges for journal editors and publishers?
Guidelines and expectations are not shared throughout the world.
The authors’ institution in country A, approved a protocol to test the efficacy of a homeopathic treatment on quality of life in HIV+ patients.

The authors travel to country B and carry out the protocol on a local population. The population do not receive standard treatment. Consent is oral.
What can the editor do?

Not reject

Pursue as far as possible

Ask authors for an explanation

Ask the ethics committee

Ask the funders

Ask the Department of Health

Ask medical regulatory organisations
Journals and publishers can feel powerless.
A protocol for a randomised trial is submitted.

The protocol has the necessary approvals from the authors’ institution.

The participants will be randomised to a treatment for which there is new evidence of potential harm to those who have a history of heart disease.
What can the editor do?

Points to consider

• The study has not yet begun.

• If it is allowed to continue, participants will be exposed to a potential risk.
• New evidence of potential harm of the intervention.

• The editor asked the authors to talk to their ethics committee.

• The ethics committee asked for modifications to the protocol to avoid at risk patients from taking part.

• The authors changed their protocol.

• The journal eventually published the revised protocol.
Sometimes journal editors and publishers do have the power to change things.
There are scenarios that are not covered by guidelines.
Another example

A group of researchers published a study about the possible role of an infective agent on the development of a childhood ailment.

The study was published 17 years ago, but no-one has since performed a similar study.

The editor is alerted that the authors did not obtain approval from an ethics committee at the time of the study, although their institution did have an ethics committee at the time.

The authors have funding for further research.
What can the editor do?

Points to consider

• The conduct of the study complied with ethical principles.

• Although the data are 17 years old, no-one has done a similar study since.

• There is a potential public health issue.

• There is interest in further research in the same area.

• If the article is retracted, the funding could be withdrawn.
The editor contacted the authors’ institutional ethics committee.

The committee said it would have approved the study.
The editor decided to leave the article published and published an erratum explaining the lack of ethics approval and the editor’s decision.
New challenges

Facebook

Facebook emotion study breached ethical guidelines, researchers say

Lack of 'informed consent' means that Facebook experiment on nearly 700,000 news feeds broke rules on tests on human subjects, say scientists

Poll: Facebook's secret mood experiment: have you lost trust in the social network?

http://www.theguardian.com/technology/2014/jun/30/facebook-emotion-study-breached-ethical-guidelines-researchers-say
Conclusion

Acknowledge our collective responsibility.

Not all research that ticks the policy boxes is ethical.

Not all research that does not tick the policy boxes is unethical.
How can publishers support editors?

- Raise awareness.
- Provide resources, training and guidance.
- Pursue issues.
- Encourage retraction of unethical research.
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